IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.5548 OF 2005

The State of Maharashtra Applicant

versus

Sarfaraz H.M. Latif Respondent

Mr.K.V.Saste, APP for applicant.

Mr.E.B.Dixit, adv. for respondent.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 13th December 2005

PC :

1. Heard Shri Saste appearing in support of this application and Shri Dixit for the respondent-accused.

2. This is an application for cancellation of bail which has been granted by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Palghar on 15th July 2004. At page 5, para V of the application the State has averred that after respondent was granted anticipatory bail, he has not co-operated with the investigating agency.

3. In the light of the aforesaid grievance which according to the respondent's counsel is totally

devoid of any merit, I had directed by my order dated 5th December 2005 that the respondent should attend Nalasopara Police Station every day from 6th December 2005 till today. Shri Saste states that the respondent did attend the Police Station, however, he has not disclosed names of persons who are also involved in running of illegal telephone exchange. Mr.Dixit states that respondent is still willing to co-operate in the investigation and attend Nalasopara Police Station as and when summoned. He further states that the applicant must disclose particulars about one Subhan and the source of obtaining two cell phones and conference facility. It is clear that the said Subhan has also been made an co-accused.

4. In the light of the above, I find no merit in the contention of the applicant that the anticipatory bail granted to the respondent should be cancelled. The application stands dismissed.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)